




### OVERALL ACCOUNTABILITY RATING




### State Accountability System


Weight in Overall Accountability Rating: 60%



! "




#\$ \$ \$ %




& #\$ \$ \$ " # \$' \$

Weight in state score: 70% (highest of I, IIA, or IIB is use !)




#

Weight in state score: "0%



### Local Accountability System

Weight in Overall Accountability Rating: &0%




( )

(5 ) 11 ( - ++ 0 2 + ( \* 0 6 67

#0#' (chool) ffectiveness In e\*: +A (cale (core: +A

( ) ! ! \$

Weight in local: %0%



\*+ ) \*,- .

#0## (, ring -ercentage -ositive Res, onses: &"./%

(cale (core: %7


# , / \* ,0 +1 ( \*,- .

#0## -ercentage -ositive Res, onses: /&.#%

(cale (core: 7'

( ) !

Weight in local: %0%



\*0 ) ++, 2 , ( \*,- .

#0## -ercentage 0avorable Res, onses: 7&%

(cale (core: 7\$

2 , 3 0 3(\*,, (\*) , # , (

#0#' 1## -artici, ation Rate: \$' %

(cale (core: \$7